## CfL Site 5 Hillcrest

Number	Agree	Disagree	Neither/Both	Comment
1	Y			Agree as long as allotment users are happy and access is not unacceptably intrusive for current residents.
2		Y		No thought for others
3	Y			
4	Y			
5		Y		No access by road without altering present housing.  Space here might provide future use for any school development
6		Y		2/3 houses? Access?
7		Y		Zi C Roussett I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
8		Y		The access seems a real problem. Allotments seem popular in Silverton. Give the reasonable proximity to the school could any future needs for it to expand involve this land if it is available?
9	Y			As long it is only 2 bungalows. That is all the site could realistically cope with. How will it be accessed?
10		Y		
11		Y		Access to the proposes land/housing is a very narrow restricted footpath. Our property will be overlooked i.e. the bedroom and bathroom so a total loss of privacy. There is no room for large vehicles to turn which would cause safety issues. There is no parking for any more houses as there is not enough parking for the houses that are already here in this 'no through road' which already has issues concerning access for emergency services vehicles.
12		Y		The reasons why I strongly disagree with the proposed development.  Loss of privacy as the rear of my garden will be totally overlooked.  Access is to be by a public right of way bordering a drive belonging to 11 + 11a Hillcrest Road.  Totally unrealistic as at present access and parking in this area is inadequate to put further strain infrastructure is poorest of planning.  Disruption to local residents and their safety will be put at risk.
13	Y			Subject to no more than 3 resid. Units being constructed. Also would like to see replacement allotments being found elsewhere.
14		Y		
15	Y			This site {subject to relevant planning issues} appears suitable for 2/3 houses.

16	Y			
17		Y		I live at Hillcrest Road and I strongly object to the building of houses at the back of me. The houses will be at the top of my garden. This means they will be looking straight into my bungalow. As there is no access to the buildings, that means that we will have more parking in Hillcrest Road. There is already a problem with parking and a bigger parking turning around. I fail to see how they are going to get lorries or building materials up to the site. Once again I strongly object. 8 Hillcrest Road.
18		Y		I only disagree I think the access to the site would cause more problems than the erection of probably only 1 dwelling is worth.
19		Y		No Access
20		Y		I thought that planning permission could only be obtained if there were adequate parking facilities. As this development faces onto a footpath one side it means no vehicular access. The other side is reached from Hillcrest but appears the piece of land does not abut Hillcrest Road so one wonders how they would be able to get any construction vehicles onto the site e.g. cement mixer lorries. So it is an enclosed piece of land with no vehicular access which should mean any planning application would be refused.
21		Y		Not a good idea
23		Y		
24	Y			
25	Y			
26	Y			
27			Y	Not sure. I think you should find land for allotments.
28	Y			Is this what somebody has christened "dog poo lane"? If so, why would anybody want to tramp through dog poo? I think a decent path constructed for 2 houses would be much nicer.
29		Y		Overly congested already, high (negative) local impact, strongly disagree!
30		Y		Ambulance and fire engine access? Madness.
31		Y		? if fire. PARKING? 4+ cars on to Coach Road. Back garden development.

32		Y		
33	Y			Accessibility problems. Otherwise ok?
34	Y			Housing would be acceptable to us here if access issues can be resolved.
35	Y			
36			Y	Access is ban issue. Should not be via a lane by School.
37		Y		
38		Y		Access is not viable, and is not a practical solution. Additional cars etc. would cause issues resulting in people parking near the school.
39	Y			There appears to be no existing access. This would have to be managed carefully.  Access bordering the school along the existing pathway would require secure fencing for the safety of children on the school grounds – the risk of vehicular traffic would pose a greater risk.
40		Y		Difficult access for little gain would result in over development of existing residential area.
41	Y			Would have to sort out access issues – what about parking ? good area if possible because low density and concealed.
42		Y		Absolutely not! This is ridiculous. Use the site for community allotments.
43		Y		Too many house around this area already, it will mean more cars. What about the parking!!
44		Y		Not possible no access.
45		Y		
46	Y			Access problems <u>BUT</u> infills waste site.
47	Y			Parking needed plus access.
48	Y			Where would the access be?
49		Y		Hillcrest is already busy with parked cars. More property with only pedestrian access will not help in any way!
50		Y		
51	Y			More housing is needed and this would be a good area to build, hopefully there will another site found for the allotments.
52		Y		Unfair on the bungalow residents.
53		Y		This site has no vehicle access.

54		Y		How on earth are they going to get access to build on this site. It is a public footpath, you cannot take vehicles along this path.
55	Y			Good infill.
56	Y			
57		Y		Disagree absolutely. I drive into this cul de sac twice a week. The access to these two planned dwellings is totally inadequate. There are already more cars in Hillcrest than it can readily cope with. I realise this is only 2 dwellings, but it would make more sense to add one or two to the Glebe plan or one to West's garage plan. Hillcrest is as narrow as either of the above mentioned road plans.
58		Y		We need to keep allotments and open spaces.
				If we need to build here, could we provide allotments somewhere else in the village.
59	Y			
60		Y		Accessibility for constructors etc.
61	Y			But what about access?
62		Y		
63	Y			
64	Y			
65	Y			
66	Y			
67		Y		Loss of open space.
68	Y			
69		Y		Access to site seems impossible unless adjacent owners sell off right of access.  Infill of area already used for housing seems reasonable, site impossible!
70	Y			As long as there is sufficient parking for at least 2 cars per home.  Additionally, it is important that sufficient gardens are put in as homes need these for younger children who cannot go out to the park unattended.
71	Y			
72			Y	It would seem that access is a major issue – also, Hillcrest is quite a narrow road often with cars parked along side of road/on pavement.
73		Y		Access impeded.

			Allotments are of very high value to bio-diversity - and the people who use them,
			Access is very difficult - both for a potentially small gain in housing stock.
74		Y	
75	Y		
76		Y	Access will be difficult to achieve.
			Too dense around here already.
77	Y		
78		Y	Don't see how there would be a way to get to the property??
			It is very near public footpath.
79		Y	No proper access except for a narrow footpath from Coach Road?
80	Y		
81		Y	Inappropriate as no access for vehicles, Car parking.
82	Y		Uses a semi-brownfield site. Within existing boundaries.
83	Y		
84	Y		This is a minimal development so would be preferable and less intrusive due to it being behind
			houses already.
85	Y		Concerned with access, could be a non- starter.
86	Y		Agree
87		Y	Vehicle access is already impossible up Hillcrest Road, with not enough parking. Any additional
			houses would make the situation worse.
88	Y		Provided good access can be agreed.
89		Y	Not enough access.
90	Y		Sensible infill.
91	Y		Meeting the needs for social housing.
92		Y	Concern about the footpath remaining open.
93	Y		I would agree to 2 properties only. The site is very small and feel it could not sustain 3
			properties.
94	Y		
95		Y	Bad access, congestion issues.
96	Y		

97	Y		Looks like a good idea. Is inside the village. Not for a Housing Association, for private family homes.
98	Y		
99	Y		
100	Y		
101	Y		
102	Y		If an alternative place for the existing allotments is provided of same size. It is done with a plan which avoids overloading of existing properties / sympathetically. What about bungalows?
103		Y	
104	Y		OK if acceptable access could be negotiated.
105		Y	Already very congested at the end of Hillcrest Road. Not suitable for more traffic. 2/3 houses - possibly 5/6 cars!
			From web site
106		Y	As a resident of 11a I strongly disagree with this proposal.  Not only is there limited access for building works and vehicles into and along Hillcrest Road but the driveway of 11a is not appropriate for such activity.  The road is already full from a parking point of view and added vehicles would compound this. I also have grave reservations regarding noise, dirt and privacy.  I do not feel this is an appropriate site for constructing housing.
107		Y	Access is untenable. How would delivery/work vehicles get there? It is hard enough for cars. Already not enough parking.
108		Y	The parking in Hillcrest is already very, very bad. Additional houses will make this even worse.  Access to the site will be very hard and cause many problems for residents.  Vans and lorries will not be able to turn at all. Access to dwellings will not be good even after the works.  Why cannot developments be on the outskirts of the village?  Allotments are something we should protect.
109		Y	Allotments are an important amenity for the area.  Additional housing is required, but it does not have to rob the area of existing amenities.

	1	
110	Y	If all the issues of the vehicular access and privacy could be addressed the I would have no
		objections to max. 2 dwellings being erected to meet local housing needs.
111	Y	I do not want the noise, traffic and disturbance that this proposal would bring.
		My bungalow is on the same path which would be affected by building work.
		The proposed houses would look into my kitchen/garden.
112	Y	All planning should go ahead
113	Y	I hope all planning goes ahead in the village we need more housing, give people a chance to get a
		house.
114	Y	The site is extremely small and in very close proximity to other houses.
		It would surely be overlooking the Primary School – too close to the school grounds which are
		used well by children throughout the day. A possible threat to children's safety.
		There is no access – using a driveway? To get to a possible 3 houses seems ridiculous.
		There is very limited parking on Hillcrest, a very narrow road. Further traffic and more parking
		required for further houses would make it impossibly difficult for residents.
115	Y	This would not be a suitable site for more housing for a number of reasons.
		1. There is no access.
		2. There is very little parking on the road. There are far more cars than parking spaces. Few
		people have drive's so people have to park blocking the pavement. More housing on
		Hillcrest would create serious problems.
		3. The road is very narrow. It would not be suitable for access needed & necessary
		equipment and vehicles to build houses.
116	Y	Site: CFL 5 - Hillcrest (adjacent to 11A)
		Disagree
		Comments/Issues:
		I have no objection to the house being there but There must be a provision for more parking
		included in planning permission. Currently there are 4 spaces for 7 houses. Yes 4 spaces for 7
		houses. Adding another residence will cause arguments all the time and make us have to park on
		Coach road which will cause more problems for the school.

CfL Site 5 Hillcrest

Many of these comments are agreeing or disagreeing in principle. There is further analysis to be done before a true picture can be achieved, but these have been typed up exactly as they appeared on the forms completed on or after the Consultation on 9<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> September.

**Agree** = **53** 

Disagree = 63